

STATE OF NEBRASKA

Office of the Attorney General

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING LINCOLN, NE 68509-8920 (402) 471-2682 TDD (402) 471-2682 CAPITOL FAX (402) 471-3297 1235 K ST. FAX (402) 471-4725

DON STENBERG ATTORNEY GENERAL

#96083

STEVE GRASZ LAURIE SMITH CAMP DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL

STATE OF NEBRASKA

DEC 17 1996

DEPT. OF JUSTICE

DATE:

December 17, 1996

SUBJECT:

Escape of Prisoner from Private Transport Company

REQUEST BY:

Ellen L. Totzke, Hall County Attorney

WRITTEN BY:

Don Stenberg, Attorney General

Laurie Smith Camp, Deputy Attorney General

You asked three questions in your letter of December 9, 1996, regarding the authority of a county sheriff to contract with a private transport company; the status of a prisoner who flees from the private transport company, regarding criminal prosecution for escape; and the potential liability of the county for injuries caused by a prisoner who is transported by a private company. We are authorized to respond only to your second question, and we conclude that a prisoner who flees from the custody of a private transport company would be subject to prosecution for the crime of escape.

Neb. Rev. Stat. §84-205 (1994) provides that the Attorney General shall "consult with and advise the county attorneys, when requested by them, in all criminal matters and in matters relating to the public revenue". [Emphasis added]. Because your first and third questions do not fall within our statutory authority to advise county attorneys, this opinion addresses only your second question.

Neb. Rev. Stat. §28-912 (1995) defines the crime of escape:

(1) A person commits escape if he unlawfully removes

David K. Arterburn L. Jay Bartel J. Kirk Brown David T. Bydalek Dale A. Comer James A. Elworth Royce N. Harper Lauren L. Hill Jay C. Hinsley Amy Hollenbeck William L. Howland Marilyn B. Hutchinson Kimberly A. Klein Jennifer S. Liliedahl Joseph P. Loudon Charles E. Lowe Lisa D. Martin-Price Lynn A. Melson Ronald D. Moravec Fredrick F. Neid Marie C. Pawol Kenneth W. Payne Paul N. Potadle Hobert B. Rupe James D. Smith James H. Spears Mark D. Starr Martin Swanson David R. Taryin, Jr. Timothy J. Texel John R. Thompson Barry Waid Terri M. Weeks Alfonza Whidaker Melanie J. Whittamore-Mantzios Linda L. Wifilard Ellen L. Totzke Hall County Attorney December 17, 1996 Page -2-

himself from official detention or fails to return to official detention following temporary leave granted for a specific purpose or limited period. Official detention shall mean arrest, detention in or transportation to any facility for custody of persons under charge or conviction of crime or contempt or for persons alleged to be found to be delinquent, detention for extradition or deportation, or any other detention for law enforcement purposes; but official detention does not include supervision of probation or parole or constraint incidental to release on bail.

- (3) Irregularity in bringing about or maintaining detention, or lack of jurisdiction of the committing or detaining authority shall not be a defense to prosecution under this section if the escape is from a prison or other custodial facility or from detention pursuant to commitment by official proceedings. In the case of other detentions, irregularity or lack of jurisdiction shall be a defense only if:
 - (a) The escape involved no substantial risk of harm to the person or property of anyone other than the detainee; and
 - (b) the detaining authority did not act in good faith under color of law.

The statute defining escape does not require that the individual charged with escape be in the physical custody of law enforcement officers or correctional employees at the time of the escape. Instead it requires that an individual be in "detention for law enforcement purposes", including "transportation". The statute has been interpreted by the Nebraska Supreme Court as requiring only "some degree of custody" which may even be "constructive" rather than "physical restraint." See, e.g., State v. Hicks, 225 Neb. 322 (1987); State v. Coffman, 213 Neb. 560 (1983); State v. White, 209 Neb. 218 (1981); State v. Farr, 209 Neb. 163 (1981). The Supreme Court has noted that §28-912 must be given "sensible construction . . . to effectuate the object of the legislation" and "construed in the context of the object sought to be accomplished, the evils and mischief sought to be remedied, and the purpose to be served." Farr, 209 Neb. at 166-67.

Ellen L. Totzke
Hall County Attorney
December 17, 1996
Page -3-

We therefore conclude that a prisoner who flees from the custody of authorized private transport personnel would be subject to criminal prosecution for escape under §28-912.

Sincerely,

DON STENBERG Attorney General

Laurie Smith Camp

Deputy Attorney General

APPROVED:

Attorney General

44-98-11

(Jane