




STATE OF NEBRASKA  
**Office of the Attorney General**

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING  
 LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68509-8920  
 (402) 471-2682  
 TDD (402) 471-2682  
 CAPITOL FAX (402) 471-3297  
 1235 K ST. FAX (402) 471-4725



**DON STENBERG**  
 ATTORNEY GENERAL

STEVE GRASZ  
 LAURIE SMITH CAMP  
 DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL

**DATE:** October 19, 1995

**SUBJECT:** Parole Eligibility for Offenders Who Receive New  
 Felony Convictions While on Parole

**REQUEST BY:** Ronald L. Bartee, Chairman  
 Nebraska Board of Parole

**WRITTEN BY:** Don Stenberg, Attorney General  
 Laurie Smith Camp, Deputy Attorney General

You have asked two questions regarding the application of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 83-1,123(3) as revised by LB 371 during the 1995 legislative session. As you note, the revision which became effective on September 9, 1995, provides:

A parolee whose parole has been revoked shall be considered by the Board for reparole at any time in the same manner as any other committed offender eligible for parole, except that no offender whose parole has been revoked as a result of a conviction of a felony committed while on parole shall receive another parole on the original sentence. [Emphasis added to new language provided in LB 371].

You also note that Neb. Rev. Stat. § 83-1,110(2) (1994) states:

Every committed offender sentenced to consecutive terms, whether received at the same time or at any time during the original sentence, shall be eligible for release on parole when the offender has served the total of the minimum term, less good time. The maximum terms shall be added to compute the new maximum term which, less good time, shall determine the date when discharge from custody of the State becomes mandatory.

David K. Arterburn  
 L. Jay Bartel  
 J. Kirk Brown  
 David T. Bydalek  
 Delores N. Coe-Barbee  
 Dale A. Comer  
 James A. Elworth  
 Lynne R. Fritz

Royce N. Harper  
 Lauren Lee Hill  
 Jay C. Hinsley  
 Amy Hollenbeck  
 William L. Howland  
 Marilyn B. Hutchinson  
 Kimberly A. Klein

Joseph P. Loudon  
 Charles E. Lowe  
 Lisa D. Martin-Price  
 Lynn A. Melson  
 Ronald D. Moravec  
 Fredrick F. Neld  
 Marie C. Pawol

Kenneth W. Payne  
 Alan E. Pedersen  
 Paul N. Potadle  
 Robert B. Rupe  
 James D. Smith  
 James H. Spears  
 Mark D. Starr

Timothy J. Texel  
 John R. Thompson  
 Barry Wald  
 Terri M. Weeks  
 Alfonza Whitaker  
 Melanie J. Whittamore-Mantzios  
 Linda L. Willard

Bartee  
October 11, 1995  
Page -2-

You pose two questions regarding the interpretation of these statutory provisions. First, you ask when an offender will become eligible for parole if the offender has committed a new felony while on parole and has received a consecutive sentence for that new felony. Second, you ask when an offender will be eligible for parole if the offender has committed a new felony while on parole and has received a concurrent sentence for the new felony.

The Nebraska Supreme Court has repeatedly held that under § 83-1,110(2), consecutive sentences are to be consolidated into one sentence, having one minimum term and one maximum term. The Court has held that the consolidated sentence commences at the date of the initial incarceration. *Luxford v. Benson*, 216 Neb. 115, 117 (1983); *Boston v. Black*, 215 Neb. 701, 710 (1983); *Stewart v. Clarke*, 240 Neb. 397, 398 (1992). The Court has made it clear that such a consolidated sentence cannot be divided, interrupted or segmented. *Gochenour v. Bolin*, 208 Neb. 444, 449 (1981). After consolidation, it is not possible to distinguish one part of the sentence from another. *Boston*, 215 Neb. at 712.

Just as there is one date when the consolidated sentence begins, there must be one date when the consolidated minimum term ends and one date when the consolidated maximum term ends. So, under § 83-1,123(3) as revised by LB 371, an inmate who has received a consecutive sentence for a new felony committed while on parole would not become eligible for parole on the consolidated sentence. The inmate would be required to serve the consolidated maximum term, less good time.

If an inmate received a new concurrent sentence for a felony while committed on parole, the inmate would become eligible for reparole after serving both the maximum term on the original sentence, less good time, and the minimum term for the new felony conviction, less good time.

Sincerely yours,

DON STENBERG  
Attorney General

  
Laurie Smith ~~Camp~~  
Deputy Attorney General

Approved:

  
Attorney General