

## STATE OF NEBRASKA Office of the Attorney General

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING LINCOLN, NE 68509-8920 (402) 471-2682 TDD (402) 471-2682 CAPITOL FAX (402) 471-3297 1235 K ST. FAX (402) 471-4725

DON STENBERG ATTORNEY GENERAL

STEVE GRASZ LAURIE SMITH CAMP DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL



DATE:

JAS 1 CONTRACTOR

May 10, 2000

21 4.57539660

SUBJECT:

LB 1029; Pre-emption by OSHA Standards

REQUESTED BY:

Senator Jim Jensen

Nebraska State Legislature

WRITTEN BY:

Don Stenberg, Attorney General

Lynn A. Melson, Assistant Attorney General

You have requested an opinion from this office regarding LB 1029 and the possible pre-emption of the bill's provisions by the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSH Act or Act) and standards promulgated thereunder. LB 1029 is a bill which would require the Department of Health and Human Services Regulation and Licensure to appoint an advisory committee for health worker safety, to adopt regulations concerning "the use of work practices and technologies that minimize the risk of needle-stick injury to health care workers," and to maintain a list of medical devices that comply with the requirements of the regulations. The bill would also require certain licensed institutions and facilities to adopt work practices and technologies in compliance with the regulations, to develop a written exposure control plan and to maintain a "needle-stick injury log." You note that state laws

Senator Jim Jensen May 10, 2000 Page 2

which regulate occupational safety and health may be pre-empted to the extent a federal standard has been promulgated and you ask whether the requirements of LB 1029 would be pre-empted by OSHA standards. Our response to your request is set forth below.

The stated purpose of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 is to assure, so far as possible, every worker safe and healthful working conditions. 29 U.S.C. § 651 et seq. The Secretary of Labor is authorized to promulgate mandatory occupational safety and health standards. 29 U.S.C. § 655. The Secretary did, in fact, promulgate a rule on occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens in 1991. 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1030. The rule is designed to protect health care workers from viruses, including those causing Hepatitis B and AIDS, that can be transmitted in the blood of patients.

The OSH Act specifically addresses state jurisdiction over occupational safety or health issues. 29 U.S.C. § 667 provides that (a) "[N]othing . . . shall prevent any State agency or court from asserting jurisdiction under State law over any occupational safety or health issue with respect to which no standard is in effect under section 655 of this title" and (b) a "State which, at any time, desires to assume responsibility for development and enforcement therein of occupational safety and health standards relating to any occupational safety or health issue with respect to which a Federal standard has been promulgated . . . shall submit a State plan for the development of such standards and their enforcement." The Secretary must formally approve any State plan which is submitted. While a number of states regulate workplace safety under plans approved by the Secretary of Labor, to our knowledge, no Nebraska plans have been submitted or approved as provided for in 29 U.S.C. § 667.

The pre-emptive effect of the OSH Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, has been considered in numerous cases involving a variety of state and local statutes, regulations, or ordinances. In the 1992 *Gade* decision, the United States Supreme Court addressed federal pre-emption of state law pursuant to the OSH Act and it is this opinion which answers your question most fully. *Gade v. National Solid Waste Management Ass'n*, 112 S. Ct. 2374 (1992). In *Gade*, a trade association brought a declaratory judgment action to enjoin a state agency from enforcing the Illinois licensing acts concerning the training of workers who handle hazardous wastes. The Court found that federal standards had been promulgated with regard to the health and safety protection of employees engaged in hazardous waste operations and that the Illinois licensing acts were, thus, pre-empted by the OSH Act to the extent they established occupational safety and health standards for training those who work with hazardous waste. The Court found

Senator Jim Jensen May 10, 2000 Page 3

that the Act "as a whole evidences Congress' intent to avoid subjecting workers and employers to duplicative regulation; a State may develop an occupational safety and health program tailored to its own needs, but only if it is willing completely to displace the applicable federal regulations." *Id.* at 2384. Further, state laws regulating the same issue as federal laws are not permitted "even if they merely supplement the federal standard." *Id.* at 2384. As the Court further explained, even nonconflicting state laws are pre-empted if a federal standard is in place because "[T]o allow a State selectively to 'supplement' certain federal regulations with ostensibly nonconflicting standards would be inconsistent with this federal scheme of establishing uniform federal standards, on the one hand, and encouraging States to assume full responsibility for development and enforcement of their own OSH programs, on the other." *Id.* at 2385. "The OSH Act does not foreclose a State from enacting its own laws to advance the goal of worker safety, but it does restrict the ways in which it can do so. If a State wishes to regulate an issue of worker safety for which a federal standard is in effect, its only option is to obtain the prior approval of the Secretary of Labor, as described in section 18 of the Act." *Id.* at 2386.

The OSH Act defines an "occupational safety and health standard" as a "standard which requires conditions, or the adoption or use of one or more practices, means, methods, operations, or processes, reasonably necessary or appropriate to provide safe or healthful employment and places of employment." 29 U.S.C. § 652 (8). The federal rule on exposure to bloodborne pathogens includes engineering controls, work practice controls, requirements for personal protective equipment, requirements for housekeeping, reporting requirements, and provisions for medical care. The work practice controls include standards of care in handling contaminated sharp instruments, such as needles, and the requirements for housekeeping include the disposal of contaminated waste. 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1030.

To the extent LB 1029 requires the Department of Health and Human Services Regulation and Licensure to adopt rules and regulations requiring the use of work practices and technologies to minimize the risk of needle-stick injury to health care workers, including regulations as to training, use of technology, use of work practices and recording of information concerning exposure to needle-stick injuries, the regulations to be promulgated appear to cover the same subject matter as the federal standard which is in effect. In our view, to the extent that the state regulations cover the same subject matter, the regulations to be promulgated by the state agency would be pre-empted by existing federal standards and would, therefore, be found unenforceable. This would be true even

Senator Jim Jensen May 10, 2000 Page 4

if the State regulations were more stringent than the federal standards or intended to supplement the federal standards.

Sincerely,

DON STENBERG Attorney General

Lynn A. Melson

**Assistant Attorney General** 

Approved by:

Attorney General

cc: Patrick J. O'Donnell

Clerk of the Legislature

09-41-11.op