

STATE OF NEBRASKA Office of the Attorney General

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING LINCOLN, NE 68509-8920 (402) 471-2682 TDD (402) 471-2682 CAPITOL FAX (402) 471-3297 1235 K ST. FAX (402) 471-4725

DON STENBERG ATTORNEY GENERAL

STEVE GRASZ LAURIE SMITH CAMP DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL



DATE: July 26, 1999

- SUBJECT: Whether the Department of Health and Human Services Has Authority to Establish a Regulation That Would Allow the Reimbursement to Counties of Unrecovered Costs Associated With the Processing and Disbursement of Child Support Payments Based Upon Insufficient Fund Checks Received From Employers
- REQUESTED BY: Ron Ross, Director Department of Health and Human Services
- WRITTEN BY: Don Stenberg, Attorney General Perry Andrew Pirsch, Assistant Attorney General

You have requested our opinion concerning whether LB 637, enacted May 26, 1999, allows the Department of Health and Human Services, Title IV-D Division, the authority, to adopt a regulation which would reimburse counties for unrecovered costs associated with the processing and disbursement of child support payments based upon insufficient funds checks received from employers as well as obligors.

Jennifer M. Amen David K. Arterbum L. Jay Bartel J. Kirk Brown Marie C. Clarke Dale A. Comer David D. Cookson Lisa A. Evans Suzanne Glover-Ettrich Susan J. Gustafson Robert E. Harkins Royce N. Harper Jason W. Hayes Amber F. Herrick William L. Howland Marilyn B. Hutchinson Therese N. James Kimberly A. Klein Charlotte R. Koranda Charles E. Lowe Lisa D. Martin-Price Lynn A. Melson Donald J. B. Miller Ronald D. Moravec

Carla Heathershaw Risko

Fredrick F. Neid

Perry A. Pirsch

Mark D. Raffety

Hobert B. Rupe

James D. Smith

James H. Spears

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

Mark D. Starr Martin Swanson John R. Thcmpson Barry Waid Tern M. Weeks Melanie J. Whittamore-Mantzios Linda L. Willard Ron Ross, Director July 26, 1999 Page -2-

Title IV-D of the Social Security Act, "The Authorization of Appropriations," 42 U.S.C. § 651 (Supp. 1999), provides that:

For the purpose of enforcing support obligations owed by noncustodial parents to their children and the spouse (or former spouse) with whom such children are living, locating such noncustodial parents, establishing paternity, obtaining child support and spousal support, and assuring that assistance in obtaining support will be available under this part to all children (whether or not eligible for assistance under a state program funded under part A of this subchapter) for whom such assistance is requested, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated for each fiscal year a sum sufficient to carry out the purposes of this part.

The purpose behind the Act is to establish paternity, obtain child support orders, and enforce existing support obligations. *Drennen v. Drennen*, 229 Neb. 204, 426 N.W.2d 252 (1988). In conjunction with Title IV-D, the State Plan for Child and Spousal Support, 42 U.S.C. § 654(3) (Supp. 1999), dictates that a state plan for child and spousal support must provide for the establishment or designation of a single and separate organizational unit, which meets such staffing and organizational requirements as the Secretary may by regulation prescribe within the State to administer the plan.

Legislative Bill 637 creates a State Disbursement Unit, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 654(3) (Supp. 1999), for the statewide collection and disbursement of support order payments. Section 4 of the Act provides, in part:

Until the State Disbursement Unit established in § 2 of this act becomes operative, the Title IV-D Division shall reimburse counties for unrecovered costs associated with the processing and disbursement of support order payments based on insufficient check funds received from obligors.

Section 4 does not specifically mention any costs associated with the processing and disbursement of support order payments based upon insufficient fund checks received from employers. The term *obligor* under § 1(6), which states an *obligor* is a person who owes a duty of support pursuant to a support order, also does not mention employers with any specificity.

Furthermore, note that a majority of the definitions listed in § 1 of LB 637 are defined by the Income Withholding for Child Support Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-1701 et seq. (1998). For example, § 1(2) states that *child support* shall have the same meaning as found in § 43-1705; § 1(7) states that *spousal support* has the same meaning as found in §43-1715; and § 1(10) states that *support order* has the same meaning as found in § 43-1717. Similarly, the definition of *obligor*, although defined under § 1(6) of LB 637, is also identical Ron Ross, Director July 26, 1999 Page -3-

to the definition provided under Income Withholding for Child Support Act (Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-1714 (1999)). In contrast to *obligor*, the Income Withholding for Child Support Act defines an *employer or other payor* as "any person, partnership, limited liability company, firm, corporation, association, political subdivision, or department or agency of the state or federal government in possession of income and shall include the obligor if he or she is self-employed." (Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-1709 (1998)). Thus, statutes related to LB 637 distinguish between an obligor and an employer.

Statutory interpretation presents a question of law. *Willers ex rel. Powell v. Willers*, 255 Neb. 769, 587 N.W.2d 390 (1998). In considering and applying a statute, courts must determine and give effect to the purpose and intent of the Legislature as ascertained from the entire language thereof, considered in its ordinary sense. *Id.* Components of a series or collection of statutes pertaining to a certain subject matter which are in *pari materia* may be collectively considered and construed to determine the intent of the Legislature so that different provisions of the act are consistent, harmonious and sensible. *Id.*

Because the language of § 4 of LB 637, considered in its ordinary sense, and in the context of *pari materia*, does not provide for reimbursement for unrecovered costs associated with the processing of and disbursement of support order payments based upon insufficient fund checks received from employers or other payers, unless the obligor is self-employed, it is our opinion that the county would not be entitled to reimbursement.

Sincerely,

DON STENBERG Attorney General

Perry A. Pirsch Assistant Attorney General

Approved:

Attorney General

32-82-16