



STATE OF NEBRASKA
Office of the Attorney General

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING
 LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68509-8920
 (402) 471-2682
 TDD (402) 471-2682
 CAPITOL FAX (402) 471-3297
 1235 K ST. FAX (402) 471-4725

DON STENBERG
 ATTORNEY GENERAL

L. STEVEN GRASZ
 SAM GRIMMINGER
 DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL

93064
 NO. STATE OF NEBRASKA
 OFFICIAL
 AUG 11 1993
 DEPT. OF JUSTICE

DATE: August 10, 1993

SUBJECT: Clarification of Attorney General Opinion No. 93049; Whether 1993 Neb. Laws LB 193, § 22, Requires Municipalities to Impose Penalty Identical to That Provided in 1993 Neb. Laws LB 193, § 33

REQUESTED BY: Terry L. Carlson, Executive Director
 State Electrical Board

WRITTEN BY: Don Stenberg, Attorney General
 Jan E. Rempe, Assistant Attorney General

In your opinion request which was the basis for Attorney General Opinion No. 93049, you asked whether municipalities having electrical licensing or inspection programs approved by the State Electrical Board (Board) must enforce the provision in 1993 Neb. Laws LB 193, § 33, which makes it a Class I misdemeanor to engage in certain types of conduct related to electrical work and inspections performed under the State Electrical Act (Act), Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 81-2101 to 81-2145 (1987, Cum. Supp. 1992, & LB 193). We replied that whether political subdivisions like cities must prosecute acts prohibited under LB 193, § 33, depends upon the content of that city's ordinances. Op. Att'y Gen. No. 93049 at 3 (June 11, 1993).

You now ask for clarification of this conclusion. Specifically, you wish to know whether LB 193, § 22 (amending Neb. Rev. Stat. § 81-2125), requires cities to impose a penalty for violations of the cities' electrical ordinances which is equivalent to the penalty provided in LB 193, § 33 (amending Neb. Rev. Stat. § 81-2143), for violations of the State Electrical Act. We

David K. Arterburn
 L. Jay Bartel
 J. Kirk Brown
 David T. Bydalek
 Laurie Smith Camp
 Dolores N. Coe-Barbee
 Dale A. Comer

James A. Elworth
 Lynne R. Fritz
 Royce N. Harper
 Mary L. Hewitt
 Lauren Lee Hill
 William L. Howland
 Marilyn B. Hutchinson

Kimberly A. Klein
 Donald A. Kohtz
 Joseph P. Loudon
 Charles E. Lowe
 Lisa D. Martin-Price
 Lynn A. Melson
 Harold I. Mosher

Fredrick F. Neid
 Marie C. Pawol
 Kenneth W. Payne
 Paul N. Potadle
 Jan E. Rempe
 James H. Spears
 Mark D. Starr

John R. Thompson
 Barry Waid
 Terri M. Weeks
 Alfonza Whitaker
 Melanie J. Whittamore-Mantzios
 Linda L. Willard

Terry L. Carlson, Executive Director
State Electrical Board
August 10, 1993
Page -2-

conclude that LB 193, § 22, does not require cities to impose a penalty that is identical to that provided in LB 193, § 33.

LB 193, § 22, provides in part:

State inspection shall not apply within the jurisdiction of any county, city, or village which provides by resolution or ordinance standards of electrical wiring and its installation that are not less than those prescribed by the board or by the State Electrical Act and which further provides by resolution or ordinance for the inspection of electrical installations within the limits of such subdivision by a certified electrical inspector. No person other than the holder of an electrical inspector's certificate of qualification shall be appointed to act as electrical inspector and to enforce the act as electrical inspector and to enforce the act or any applicable resolution or ordinance within his or her jurisdiction. A copy of the certificate of each electrical inspector shall be provided to the board by the political subdivision issuing the certificate.

LB 193, § 33, makes it a Class I misdemeanor to commit or order another to commit the following acts:

- (1) To make a false statement in any license application, request for inspection, certificate, or other lawfully authorized or required form or statement provided by the State Electrical Act;
- (2) To perform electrical work for another without a proper license for such work;
- (3) To fail to file a request for inspection when required;
- (4) To interfere with or refuse entry to an inspector lawfully engaged in the performance of his or her duties; or
- (5) To fail or neglect to comply with the act or any lawful rule, regulation, or order of the board.

"In the absence of anything indicating to the contrary, statutory language is to be given its plain and ordinary meaning." *State v. Stein*, 241 Neb. 225, 228, 486 N.W.2d 921, 923 (1992); *State v. Matthews*, 237 Neb. 300, 465 N.W.2d 763 (1991). See also Neb. Rev. Stat. § 49-802(5) (1988) (statutory words and phrases shall be construed and understood according to the common and approved usage of language).

Terry L. Carlson, Executive Director
State Electrical Board
August 10, 1993
Page -3-

The plain language of LB 193, § 22, provides that certain political subdivisions will not be subject to state electrical inspections if the subdivision provides by resolution or ordinance (1) standards of electrical wiring and electrical installation that are not less than those prescribed by the Board or Act and (2) for the inspection of electrical installations within the subdivision's limits. Section 22 only requires that these political subdivisions' electrical wiring and installation standards be equal to or greater than those set by the Board or Act; the bill does not require that penalties for violating the subdivisions' resolutions or ordinances be the equal to or greater than those provided in LB 193, § 33, for violating provisions of the State Electrical Act.

Municipalities are generally endowed with broad and general police powers to cope with problems regarding health, safety, and public welfare within the municipalities' corporate boundaries. Charles S. Rhyne, *The Law of Local Government Operations* § 19.1, at 447 (1980). However, municipalities do not have inherent police power, and they can exercise only such powers as the state vests in them under constitutional provisions, statutes, or charters. *Id.*

Many Nebraska municipalities have the authority to enact ordinances and impose fines and penalties for violations of these ordinances. See 1993 Neb. Laws LB 138, § 61 (cities of the metropolitan class have power by ordinance to make and enforce all police regulations for the general welfare, health, and safety of the city and to pass ordinances and impose fines and penalties for violation of these ordinances); Neb. Rev. Stat. § 14-102.01 (1991) (cities of metropolitan class may make ordinances as are necessary or expedient for maintaining the welfare of the city and for promoting public health and safety); Neb. Rev. Stat. § 16-225 (1991) (first-class cities may impose fines and penalties for the breach of any ordinance); Neb. Rev. Stat. § 17-505 (1991) (second-class cities and villages have power to make ordinances that are expedient for maintaining the corporation's welfare and to impose fines and penalties for breach of these ordinances).

Therefore, provided that the municipalities with which you are concerned have the general police power to enact ordinances which impose penalties for violations of the municipalities' electrical wiring ordinances, LB 193, § 22, does not require cities to impose a penalty for violations of the cities' electrical wiring ordinances that is identical to that provided in LB 193, § 33.

Terry L. Carlson, Executive Director
State Electrical Board
August 10, 1993
Page -4-


Sincerely,

DON STENBERG
Attorney General



Jan E. Rempe
Assistant Attorney General

Approved By:



Attorney General

13-399-6.92c